# AGENDA FOR THE FUTURE-YEAR THREE 2000-2001

## "INDEPENDENCE FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES THROUGH GOAL-DIRECTED SERVICES"

## MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING

## MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION FOR THE BLIND

MASSACHUSETTS REHABILITATION COMMISSION

**JANUARY, 2000** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION				Page 4
I.	MO	CDHH		
		Background Population and Mission		9
	1.	Bilingual Case Management Services		11
	2.	Client Services Fund		20
	<b>3.</b>	Public & State Agency Education		24
	4.	Interpreter & CART Services		27
	5.	IL Services for the Deaf & Hard of Hearing		34
	6.	Administrative Services	41	
	7.	MCDHH Budget Tables		
II.	M	СВ		
	1.	Administrative Services	45	
	2.	Community Services		46
	3.	State Supplement for SSI		50
	4.	Deaf/Blind/Multi-Handicapped Services		50
	<b>5.</b>	Vocational Rehabilitation		54
	6.	Ferguson Industries for the Blind		55
	7.	MCB Budget Tables		
III.	MI	RC - VR Division		
		Background and Mission		58
	1.	Administrative Services	58	
	2.	Public Vocational Rehabilitation Services		59
	3.	Employment Services		66
		- IL Division		
	1.	Independent Living Centers		68
	2.	Turning 22 Services		74
	3.	Adult Supported Living Services		81
	4.	Protective Services Program		85
	5.	Assistive Technology		88
	6.	Personal Care Assistance Program		91

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

7.	Multiple Chemical Sensitivity Outreach Project	92
8.	Housing Registry Expansion	93
9.	Massachusetts Network of Information Providers	95
10.	Home Modifications Program	97
11.	Home Care Assistance Program	98
<b>12.</b>	HomeCare Retained Revenue Account	102
<b>13.</b>	The Statewide Head Injury Program	103
14.	Head Injury Treatment Trust Fund	112
<b>15.</b>	Head Injury Services Trust Fund	113
16.	MRC Budget Tables	

#### AGENDA FOR THE FUTURE-YEAR THREE

#### 2000-2001

#### INTRODUCTION

In the state fiscal year 1998, three Massachusetts EOHHS agencies serving individuals with disabilities, the Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (*MCDHH*), the Commission for the Blind (*MCB*), and the Rehabilitation Commission (*MRC*), joined together to define the needs of their discrete service delivery systems. All three of these agencies have seen the demand for goal directed services rise continually. This increase in demand has occurred during a time when the Commonwealth has placed a greater emphasis on deinstitutionalization and the provision of community-based services to consumers with more severe disabilities. However, for many years this expanded mandate was accompanied by little, if any, increase in state resources to meet the needs of these citizens of the Commonwealth. In FY'99, MCDHH, MCB, and MRC received increases in appropriations for the first time in a decade. Greater recognition of the needs of these three agencies was accomplished through the advocacy of diverse constituencies and the direct result of the publication of the three year budget plan entitled "Agenda for the Future". For example, after MCDHH was established in 1986, the agency never received the funding necessary for base level development of the full range of services described in its enabling legislation. In the FY'99 State budget, those needs were finally addressed.

Since the late 1980's, two of the disability agencies, MRC and MCDHH, experienced budget reductions in the face of increased service demands. For MCB, the budget has been essentially level funded with the exception of increased "Turning 22" monies for the deaf-blind population (*See Table I*). Apart from the previously mentioned "Turning 22" increases for MCB, funding levels for all agencies have not kept pace with the inflationary impact on the cost of services, let alone the demands by more people with more severe disabilities. Programs designed to serve individuals with brain injury, "Turning 22" young adults, individuals who are deaf-blind, profoundly deaf, late deafened adults, elderly blind persons, and adults with disabilities of all types trying to live independently in their communities, have emerged and grown over the past decade.

In FY'99 and FY'2000 the Governor and the legislature recognized the funding needs of these three agencies and provided new monies for additional services including: "Turning 22" services, independent living services and centers, services for children with blindness, assistive technology for individuals who are blind, auxiliary aids for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, home care services for adults with disabilities, and adaptive housing services for people with disabilities of all ages.

#### **Looking Ahead**

An individual's ability to be a full and active participant in the community continues to grow more complex, requiring the mastery of a host of skills barely thought of just a few years ago. Preparing young adults, including those with disabilities, to thrive and eventually assume responsibility for the management of our society is a worthwhile and highly challenging goal. The work of the three discrete disability agencies in Massachusetts is nothing short of this important mission. People with disabilities are equal members of the next generation of citizens and community leaders. Our agencies are charged with assisting consumers to create opportunities for the attainment of the knowledge and skills and supports necessary to thrive, achieve independence and become productive and active participants in the life of the Commonwealth.

For many persons in the disability community, the passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act (*ADA*) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (*IDEA*) represent an unfulfilled promise. Laws requiring equal education and equal access do not automatically create equality. These three disability agencies have clearly demonstrated the expertise to assist people with disabilities to live independently, gain access to information, education and services, and if at all possible, to attain and maintain employment. The goal of this program expansion is independence, both personal and financial, for all citizens with disabilities in Massachusetts.

#### **Public Hearings**

In order to better define the service needs of the constituents of the three discrete disability agencies, a "Discussion Draft" of this document was developed in June of 1997. The draft document was shared with the Executive Office of Health and Human Services and was endorsed for further consideration and review by those in the disability community who have an interest in the services and capacity of the three agencies.

A major component of this review process included conducting four public hearings held over the summer and early fall of 1997 in Boston, Worcester, Holyoke, and Fairhaven. People with disabilities and other interested stakeholders were invited to provide testimony concerning the discussion document and to identify their service issues and programmatic priorities. The three Commissioners (*Bartels, Crawford, and Wood*) were present at each of the hearings and were available to ask and answer questions when clarification was needed.

The hearings generated tremendous participation in support of the concepts outlined in the discussion draft. Attendance in every location was excellent. It should be noted that each person providing testimony frequently represented dozens of other individuals, as they spoke on behalf of a particular program, service or constituency. Attendees included persons with disabilities,

their parents and other family members, personal care attendants and other providers, with the following participation:

#### Locations

- Mass. Archives, Boston 150 attendees
- Assumption College, Worcester 50 attendees
- Heritage Park, Holyoke 50 attendees
- Nemasket Group, Fairhaven 50 attendees

#### **TOTAL ATTENDEES - 300**

The testimony frequently echoed several themes already found in the service and program expansion proposals presented in the discussion draft document. In some cases however, revisions and/or the addition of new program items were the direct result of testimony presented at the hearings. The details and real life experiences shared by a great many participants were essential to building the descriptive material contained in this report.

In the Fall of 1998, the "Agenda for the Future" was updated to reflect the budget improvements of all three agencies for state FY'99. Additional opportunities for comment and review of the "Year Two" document were provided in late November and early December of 1998 through the input from another four public forums held throughout the state. These additional forums were useful in developing an understanding of the revisions of the "Agenda for the Future-Year Two" and in identifying emerging issues in the disability community.

Again, in the Fall of 1999, the "Agenda for the Future" was updated to include the budgetary accomplishments of the State FY'2000 budget process. This document is again being presented to the Administration, the Legislature, and the public as a tool to communicate the needs of people with disabilities as determined by this multi-year consumer-driven process.

#### **Document Description**

This document has been prepared in order to identify the very specific service needs of the people with disabilities to whom these services will be provided. The budget figures presented provide the FY'2000 actual budget figures and give the FY'2001 projected figures which are strictly for the purpose of expanding services. These numbers do not account for unanticipated expenses which are likely to be incurred and can not be meaningfully projected, such as costs associated with additional charge-backs, lease negotiations, various rate increases, and other expenses. Estimated administrative costs are reflected in program line items. Base budget and expansion dollars are, of course, subject to the appropriations process involving the state's Administration and Legislature.

This document is organized in three chapters, presenting the proposals for each agency individually, as follows; MCDHH, MCB, and MRC. The table following the Introduction section describes the funding history and an overview of anticipated funding needs of each agency (Table I). In each agency chapter, the description relative to every service category can be found along with spreadsheets detailing yearly budget summarizing the program's expansion items over the three year period (*Tables II, III, IV*).

#### Conclusion

As Commissioner's of the three agencies, MRC, MCB, and MCDHH, we present this document and its third year budget proposals for consideration for funding by the Administration, including the Governor, the Executive Office of Health and Human Services, Administration and Finance, and by the Legislature. These proposals constitute a well thought out plan for meeting the existing and future needs of people with disabilities in Massachusetts so they may lead independent and productive lives. These proposed programs and services will make effective use of limited state dollars and will, in many instances, assist people to become taxpayers who might otherwise remain dependent on the Commonwealth.

We look forward to discussing and addressing further these proposals with all parties involved in the state budget process.

Respectfully submitted, Elmer C. Bartels, Commissioner Massachusetts Rehabilitation Commission David Govostes, Acting Commissioner Massachusetts Commission for the Blind Barbara Jean Wood, Commissioner

Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing

I. MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING

## MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND HARD OF HEARING

#### **BACKGROUND: POPULATION AND MISSION**

- \* The primary consumers of MCDHH services, both directly and indirectly, are individuals of all ages who are deaf or hard of hearing.
- \* Public and private agencies are a second consumer body of MCDHH technical assistance and communication-related services.

#### **POPULATIONS:**

Approximately **8.6%** of the population has a hearing loss; that is an estimated **495,950** individuals with a hearing loss in Massachusetts.

Hearing loss is a "hidden disability", having its effect in the arenas of spoken/auditory communication ... and therefore having an impact for the individual on, for example, social interactions, information access, employment, health care access, mental health service access, education access, elder services access, safety in the home, and citizen participation in the affairs of government and policy making.

The deaf and hard of hearing populations served by the MCDHH, broadly speaking, include two primary groups, each of which has some unique service needs:

- (1) "born deaf or hard of hearing": those who were deaf or had a significant hearing loss at birth or in the first few years of life, ... a large percent of whom use American Sign Language as their only or preferred language mode; and
- (2) "acquired deafness or a hearing loss": those who became deaf or acquired a significant hearing loss later in life, after spoken language was well established and after early education had been completed (*individuals referred to as "late deafened"*).

Unfortunately, a negative stigma is still attached to acquired hearing loss in the later years. As a result many individuals with an acquired hearing loss deny it and do not seek services which would:

- \* improve face-to-face communication in all settings,
- \* aid employment,
- \* decrease social isolation, decrease consequent depression and stress, and decrease need for need for costly mental health services or early institutional care,
- \* increase safety.
- \* Public and private agencies are a second consumer body for MCDHH technical assistance and communication-related services.

For the most part, activities of government, education, social and human services, and support systems in the community are still designed for individuals who hear. All service systems and policies of government still need to move forward to recognize the unique communication needs of people who are Deaf, oral deaf, late deafened and hard of hearing.

Technical assistance and communication-related services to all agencies of state and municipal government, and private sector agencies as well, are delivered through:

- \* the Department of Case Management;
- \* the Department of Communication Access, Training and Technical Assistance;
- \* the Department for Interpreter Services; and
- \* the policy staff.

#### MISSION:

The Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (*MCDHH*) was established in July 1986 as "the principal agency in the Commonwealth on behalf of people of all ages who are deaf and hard of hearing", in recognition of the need for:

- \* a visible, fully communication accessible, central point of contact in state government which shall;
- promulgate public policy;
- \* deliver technical assistance and resources to other state agencies;
- \* provide or ensure provision of direct services including but not limited to case management services, information services, technology services, interpreter services, and such other services as it determines are needed;
- \* carryout public education;
- \* advocate;
- \* ensure the accessibility and quality of existing services and recommend new services as needed;
- \* make recommendations to the Governor.

All functions and services are carried out in order to enable deaf and hard of hearing individuals to have access to information, services, education, and opportunities which will be equal to those of able-bodied people who hear and which will enable each deaf and hard of hearing individual; to live productively and independently while assuming fullest responsibility as a citizen.